

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/447,080	11/22/1999		JOUNG-KYOU PARK	678-335-(P85	3345	
28249	7590	02/18/2005		EXAMINER		
DILWORT 333 EARLE		RRESE, LLP		NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
UNIONDAL				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			2674		

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/447,080	PARK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jennifer T Nguyen	2674						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication (NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	n.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No.	ovember 1999.							
	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	3					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 3,4 and 7-10 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>3,4 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.	,,,,,,,						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•							
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		eceived in this National Stage						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eceived.						
Attachment(s)	🗖							
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Su Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/447,080

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to amendment filed on 02/23/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3, 4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gourdol (Patent No. US 5,594,810) in view of Ishigaki (Patent No. US 5,361,310).

Regarding claim 3, referring to Figs. 1 and 11, Gourdol teaches a character recognition device for recognizing a character input through a touch screen (20) comprising: a touch screen data recorder (i.e., prototype buffer) for storing touch screen data generated from input of stroke, wherein said character is recognized in response to said stroke or in response to a plurality of strokes; a character recognition processor (i.e., algorithmic gesture recognizer) for performing character recognition of the stored touch screen data at each time when each stroke is input through said touch screen (col. 7, line 38 to col. 8, line 32), wherein all the touch screen data are recognized as a single character when said predetermined waiting threshold time is completely counted, wherein the character recognition and the counting of the threshold time occur simultaneously (col. 14, line 62 to col. 15, line 23).

Gourdol differs from claim 3 in that he does not specifically teaches a timer for counting a predetermined waiting threshold time when there is no touch data generated; however referring to Fig. 3, Ishigaki teaches a timer (45) for counting a predetermined waiting threshold time when

Application/Control Number: 09/447,080

Art Unit: 2674

there is no touch data generated (col. 4, lines 40-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the timer as taught by Ishigaki in the system of Gourdol in order to provide a character recognition device which is performing character recognition accurately and quickly.

Regarding to claim 4, Gourdol teaches the touch screen recorder and character recognition processor are designed to have multi-tasking functions, thereby perform the corresponding function when a touch screen data is generated and stored (col. 7, line 24 to col. 8, line 40).

Regarding claims 7 and 9, referring to Figs. 1 and 11, Gourdol teaches a character recognition device for recognizing a character input through a touch screen (20) comprising: a touch screen data recorder (i.e., prototype buffer) for storing touch screen data generated from input of stroke, wherein said character is recognized in response to said stroke or in response to a plurality of strokes; a character recognition processor (i.e., algorithmic gesture recognizer) for performing character recognition of the stored touch screen data as a character (col. 7, line 38 to col. 8, line 32), wherein a freshly stored touch screen data generated before completion of counting the predetermined waiting threshold time is added to the previous touch screen data to complete said character (col. 14, line 62 to col. 15, line 23).

Gourdol differs from claims 7 and 9 in that he does not specifically teaches a timer for counting a predetermined waiting threshold time when there is no touch data generated; however referring to Fig. 3, Ishigaki teaches a timer (45) for counting a predetermined waiting threshold time when there is no touch data generated (col. 4, lines 40-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the

timer as taught by Ishigaki in the system of Gourdol in order to provide a character recognition device which is performing character recognition accurately and quickly.

Regarding claims 8 and 10, Gourdol teaches the character recognition processor outputs a character code corresponding to a recognized character when another touch screen data is not generated before completion of counting to said predetermined waiting threshold time (col. 14, line 62 to col. 15, line 23).

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 4 and 7-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer T Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3225. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edouard Patrick can be reached on 703-308-6725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JNguyen



Application/Control Number: 09/447,080

Art Unit: 2674

02/14/05

Page 5